



# Table of Contents

---

<b>Table of Contents.....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>List of Tables.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures.....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Appendices .....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Executive Summary.....</b>	<b>ES-1</b>
ES.1 LEAD AGENCIES, COOPERATING AND PARTICIPATING AGENCIES .....	ES-1
ES.2 SUMMARY OF THE ACTION .....	ES-2
ES.3 NEED FOR AND PURPOSE OF THE ACTION.....	ES-3
ES.4 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	ES-4
ES.4.1 No-Build Alternative .....	ES-4
ES.4.2 Build Alternatives .....	ES-5
ES.4.3 Preferred Alternative .....	ES-6
ES.5 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS .....	ES-7
ES.5.1 Impacts to the Human Environment.....	ES-7
ES.5.2 Impacts to the Natural Environment.....	ES-14
ES.5.3 Indirect and Cumulative Effects .....	ES-19
ES.6 PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION .....	ES-19
ES.7 ADDITIONAL FUTURE ACTIONS.....	ES-20
<b>Chapter 1 Need for and Purpose of Proposed Action.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION .....	1-1
1.2 PROJECT HISTORY.....	1-4
1.2.1 US 281 Super Street .....	1-4
1.2.2 US 281/Loop 1604 Interchange .....	1-5
1.3 NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION .....	1-6
1.3.1 Growth .....	1-7
1.3.2 Functionality .....	1-11
1.3.3 Safety.....	1-13
1.3.4 Community Quality of Life .....	1-15
1.3.5 Summary of Needs for the US 281 Corridor Project .....	1-17
1.4 PURPOSE OF THE PROPOSED ACTION.....	1-17
1.4.1 Project Goals and Objectives .....	1-17
1.5 DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS.....	1-18
1.5.1 The EIS Process .....	1-20
1.5.2 Role of the Draft EIS.....	1-22
1.5.3 Role of the Final EIS.....	1-23
<b>Chapter 2 Alternatives Considered .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1 INTRODUCTION .....	2-1
2.1.1 Description of Existing Facility .....	2-1



2.2 DEVELOPMENT OF ALTERNATIVES.....	2-5
2.2.1 Community and Public Agency Involvement.....	2-5
2.2.2 Preliminary Range of Alternatives .....	2-5
2.3 ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION.....	2-8
2.3.1 Alternatives Evaluation Process.....	2-8
2.3.2 Level 1 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results.....	2-9
2.3.3 Level 2 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results .....	2-11
2.3.4 Level 3 Alternatives Evaluation Process and Results.....	2-16
2.3.5 Refinements to Build Alternatives in the Draft EIS.....	2-20
2.4 IDENTIFICATION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE .....	2-22
2.5 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED IN THE FINAL EIS .....	2-23
2.5.1 No-Build Alternative.....	2-23
2.5.2 Build Alternatives .....	2-24
2.5.3 Project Cost Estimates.....	2-48
<b>Chapter 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 INTRODUCTION .....	3-1
3.2 LAND USE .....	3-2
3.2.1 Methodology.....	3-2
3.2.2 Affected Environment and Future Projections/Plans .....	3-2
3.2.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-13
3.2.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	3-14
3.3 FARMLANDS .....	3-14
3.3.1 Methodology.....	3-15
3.3.2 Affected Environment .....	3-15
3.3.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-16
3.3.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	3-17
3.4 SOCIOECONOMICS .....	3-17
3.4.1 Population and Demographics .....	3-17
3.4.2 Neighborhoods and Community Cohesion.....	3-23
3.4.3 Community and Public Resources .....	3-29
3.4.4 Economic Characteristics .....	3-36
3.4.5 Displacements and Relocation .....	3-45
3.4.6 Environmental Justice.....	3-59
3.5 PEDESTRIAN AND BICYCLE FACILITIES.....	3-87
3.5.1 Methodology.....	3-87
3.5.2 Affected Environment .....	3-87
3.5.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-89
3.5.4 Conclusion .....	3-89
3.6 TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES .....	3-89
3.6.1 Methodology .....	3-90
3.6.2 Affected Environment .....	3-90
3.6.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-91
3.6.4 Conclusion .....	3-100
3.7 AIR QUALITY .....	3-101
3.7.1 Methodology.....	3-101
3.7.2 Conformity.....	3-102
3.7.3 CO Traffic Air Quality Analysis (TAQA) .....	3-102
3.7.4 Mobile Source Air Toxics (MSAT) .....	3-103
3.7.5 Conclusion .....	3-108
3.7.6 Mitigation.....	3-109



3.8 TRAFFIC NOISE .....	3-109
3.8.1 Methodology .....	3-109
3.8.2 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences.....	3-111
3.8.3 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	3-127
3.9 WATER QUALITY.....	3-127
3.9.1 Surface Water.....	3-127
3.9.2 Groundwater .....	3-130
3.10 FLOODPLAINS.....	3-155
3.10.1 Methodology .....	3-155
3.10.2 Affected Environment .....	3-155
3.10.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-155
3.10.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-156
3.11 WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS .....	3-157
3.12 COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT AND COASTAL BARRIERS.....	3-157
3.13 WATERS OF THE U.S. INCLUDING WETLANDS.....	3-158
3.13.1 Methodology .....	3-158
3.13.2 Affected Environment.....	3-158
3.13.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-161
3.13.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-162
3.14 VEGETATION .....	3-163
3.14.1 Methodology .....	3-163
3.14.2 Affected Environment.....	3-164
3.14.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-167
3.14.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-168
3.15 WILDLIFE .....	3-169
3.15.1 Methodology .....	3-169
3.15.2 Affected Environment.....	3-169
3.15.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-171
3.15.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-173
3.16 THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES .....	3-173
3.16.1 Methodology .....	3-174
3.16.2 Affected Environment .....	3-176
3.16.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-187
3.16.4 Conclusion.....	3-193
3.16.5 Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-200
3.17 CULTURAL RESOURCES .....	3-203
3.17.1 Historic Resources (Non-Archeological) .....	3-203
3.17.2 Archeological Resources .....	3-205
3.18 SECTION 4(F) & SECTION 6(F) PROPERTIES .....	3-212
3.18.1 Methodology .....	3-213
3.18.2 Affected Environment .....	3-213
3.18.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-213
3.18.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-213
3.19 HAZARDOUS/REGULATED MATERIALS.....	3-214
3.19.1 Methodology .....	3-214
3.19.2 Affected Environment .....	3-216
3.19.3 Environmental Consequences.....	3-222
3.19.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures.....	3-224
3.20 VISUAL AND AESTHETIC QUALITIES.....	3-224
3.20.1 Methodology.....	3-224
3.20.2 Affected Environment .....	3-225



3.20.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-227
3.20.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	3-234
<b>3.21 ENERGY REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>3-235</b>
3.21.1 Methodology .....	3-235
3.21.2 Affected Environment.....	3-235
3.21.3 Environmental Consequences .....	3-235
3.21.4 Conclusion and Proposed Mitigation Measures .....	3-236
<b>3.22 RELATIONSHIP OF LOCAL SHORT-TERM USES VERSUS LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY .....</b>	<b>3-236</b>
<b>3.23 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES .....</b>	<b>3-237</b>
<b>3.24 CONSTRUCTION .....</b>	<b>3-237</b>
<b>3.25 SOCIAL, ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>3-239</b>
<b>Chapter 4 Indirect Effects .....</b>	<b>4-1</b>
4.1 STEP 1: SCOPING .....	4-3
4.1.1 Determining the Appropriate Methods and Level of Effort.....	4-3
4.1.2 Study Area Boundaries: Area of Influence (AOI).....	4-3
4.1.3 Timeframe for the Indirect Effects Analysis .....	4-9
4.2 STEP 2: IDENTIFY THE STUDY AREA'S GOALS AND TRENDS .....	4-9
4.2.1 Goals.....	4-9
4.2.2 Trends within the AOI.....	4-22
4.3 STEP 3: INVENTORY THE STUDY AREA'S NOTABLE FEATURES .....	4-28
4.3.1 Land Resources and Features .....	4-29
4.3.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources.....	4-30
4.3.3 Air Quality.....	4-33
4.3.4 Water Resources and Features .....	4-33
4.3.5 Ecological Resources and Features.....	4-39
4.3.6 Archeological Resources .....	4-44
4.3.7 Historic Resources .....	4-45
4.4 STEP 4: IDENTIFY IMPACT-CAUSING ACTIVITIES OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES .....	4-46
4.5 STEP 5: IDENTIFY POTENTIALLY SUBSTANTIAL INDIRECT EFFECTS FOR ANALYSIS.....	4-47
4.5.1 Encroachment-Alteration Effects .....	4-47
4.5.2 Induced Growth Effects.....	4-52
4.5.3 Effects Related to Induced Growth .....	4-52
4.5.4 Summary of Potentially Substantial Effects .....	4-54
4.6 STEP 6: ANALYSIS OF INDIRECT EFFECTS AND EVALUATE RESULTS .....	4-56
4.6.1 Encroachment-Alteration Effects.....	4-56
4.6.2 Induced Growth Effects.....	4-67
4.6.3 Effects Related to Induced Growth.....	4-73
4.6.4 Evaluation of Analysis Results.....	4-98
4.7 STEP 7: ASSESS CONSEQUENCES AND CONSIDER/DEVELOP MITIGATION (WHEN APPROPRIATE) .....	4-102
<b>Chapter 5 Cumulative Effects.....</b>	<b>5-1</b>
5.1 STEP 1: IDENTIFY THE RESOURCES TO CONSIDER IN THE ANALYSIS .....	5-3
5.2 STEP 2: DEFINE THE STUDY AREA FOR EACH RESOURCE .....	5-7
5.2.1 Land Resources Study Area.....	5-7
5.2.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources Study Area .....	5-9
5.2.3 Water Resources Study Areas .....	5-11
5.2.4 Ecological Resources Study Areas .....	5-13
5.3 STEP 3: DESCRIBE THE CURRENT STATUS/VIABILITY AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT FOR EACH RESOURCE .....	5-27
5.3.1 Land Resources .....	5-27
5.3.2 Socioeconomic and Community Resources.....	5-27



5.3.3 Water Resources – Surface Water .....	5-33
5.3.4 Water Resources – Groundwater.....	5-41
5.3.5 Ecological Resources – Vegetation and Wildlife.....	5-51
5.3.6 Ecological Resources – Threatened and Endangered Species.....	5-55
<b>5.4 STEP 4: IDENTIFY DIRECT AND INDIRECT IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT THAT MIGHT CONTRIBUTE TO A CUMULATIVE IMPACT .....</b>	<b>5-64</b>
5.5 STEP 5: IDENTIFY OTHER REASONABLY FORESEEABLE FUTURE EFFECTS.....	5-69
5.5.1 2035 Development Predicted by Collaborative Judgment Land Use Panel .....	5-70
5.5.2 2035 Development Estimate Based on Population Projections and Residential Absorption Analysis .....	5-71
5.5.3 Identified Individual Projects.....	5-76
5.5.4 Summary of Current and Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Development .....	5-80
<b>5.6 STEP 6: IDENTIFY AND ASSESS CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.....</b>	<b>5-80</b>
5.6.1 Cumulative Effects on Land Resources and Land Uses .....	5-80
5.6.2 Effects on Socioeconomic and Community Resources.....	5-82
5.6.3 Cumulative Effects on Water Resources .....	5-91
5.6.4 Cumulative Effects on Ecological Resources .....	5-98
<b>5.7 STEP 7: REPORT THE RESULTS .....</b>	<b>5-107</b>
5.7.1 Summary of Step 1: Identify Resources to Consider in the Analysis .....	5-108
5.7.2 Summary of Step 2: Define the Study Area for Each Resource.....	5-108
5.7.3 Summary of Step 3: Describe the Current Status/Viability and Historical Context for Each Resource .....	5-108
5.7.4 Summary of Step 4: Identify Direct and Indirect Impacts of the Project that Might Contribute to a Cumulative Impact .....	5-109
5.7.5 Summary of Step 5: Identify Other Reasonably Foreseeable Future Effects.....	5-109
5.7.6 Summary of Step 6: Identify and Assess Cumulative Impacts .....	5-109
<b>5.8 STEP 8: ASSESS THE NEED FOR MITIGATION .....</b>	<b>5-114</b>
5.8.1 Regulatory Resource Protective Measures.....	5-114
5.8.2 Other Resource Conservation and Mitigation Programs .....	5-121
5.8.3 Aquifer Species.....	5-133
5.8.4 Anticipated Effects of Mitigation Measures and Resource Protection Priorities .....	5-135
5.8.5 Integrating Climate Change Considerations into Transportation Planning .....	5-138
<b>Chapter 6 Public and Agency Coordination .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.2 NOTICE OF INTENT .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
<b>6.3 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES.....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.3.1 Mailing List.....	6-1
6.3.2 Public Meetings .....	6-3
6.3.3 Public Hearing .....	6-6
6.3.4 Community Advisory Committee.....	6-7
6.3.5 Community Briefings.....	6-9
6.3.6 Elected and Appointed Official Briefings .....	6-10
6.3.7 Newsletters .....	6-10
6.3.8 Project Website.....	6-12
6.3.9 Social Media .....	6-13
6.3.10 Special Outreach.....	6-14
<b>6.4 AGENCY INVOLVEMENT ACTIVITIES .....</b>	<b>6-15</b>
6.4.1 Agency Roles and Responsibilities.....	6-15
6.4.2 Agency Scoping Meetings .....	6-17
6.4.3 Peer Technical Review Committee.....	6-18
6.4.4 Native American Consultation .....	6-19
6.4.5 Scoping Concurrence.....	6-19



WORKS CITED.....	1
LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	1
GLOSSARY.....	1



# List of Tables

---

Table 1-1: Historic and Forecasted Average Daily Traffic .....	1-11
Table 1-2: History of US 281 Improvements.....	1-12
Table 1-3: US 281 Project Corridor Crashes, 2003 — 2013 .....	1-14
Table 1-4: Inclusion of US 281 in MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans.....	1-19
Table 2-1: 2012 Average Daily Traffic for US 281 Project Corridor.....	2-4
Table 2-2: US 281 Project Corridor Traffic Levels of Service.....	2-4
Table 2-3: Refinements to Expressway Alternative .....	2-21
Table 2-4: Refinements to Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	2-21
Table 2-5: Refinements to Expressway Alternative for the Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-23
Table 2-6: Ability of the Alternatives to Meet the Project's Need, Purpose and Objectives.....	2-45
Table 2-7: Cost Estimates.....	2-49
Table 3-1: Land Use Category - US 281 Land Use Study Area .....	3-7
Table 3-2: Land Acquisition (in Acres) by Build Alternative .....	3-13
Table 3-3: Mapped Soil Units in the Farmland Study Area .....	3-16
Table 3-4: Historical Population Growth in the Region .....	3-19
Table 3-5: Forecasted Growth in the Demographic Study Area .....	3-20
Table 3-6: Race and Ethnicity – 2010 Census .....	3-22
Table 3-7: Household Income – 2008-2012 ACS.....	3-23
Table 3-8: Household Occupancy – 2008-2012 ACS .....	3-24
Table 3-9: ROW Acquisition from Place of Worship Properties.....	3-35
Table 3-10: Employment by Industry.....	3-36
Table 3-11: Commute Characteristics for Bexar and Comal Counties .....	3-37
Table 3-12: Civilian Labor Force, Total Employment, and Unemployment Rate .....	3-38
Table 3-13: Property Tax Rates for Major Taxing Jurisdictions.....	3-38
Table 3-14: Summary of Funding Sources .....	3-39
Table 3-15: Total Project Cost Breakdown .....	3-41
Table 3-16: Estimated Appraised Value of Acquired Property .....	3-42
Table 3-17: Potential Annual Loss in Tax Revenue per Build Alternative (2013 dollars) .....	3-42
Table 3-18: Estimated Economic Effects of the Build Alternatives .....	3-44
Table 3-19: Potential Displacements by Build Alternative.....	3-46
Table 3-20: Description of Potentially Displaced Commercial Properties .....	3-46
Table 3-21: ROW Impacts .....	3-58
Table 3-22: Commercial, Industrial, and Agriculture MLS Availability by City Zip Codes .....	3-58
Table 3-23: Race and Ethnicity – 2010 Census .....	3-66
Table 3-24: Household Income in the Study Area for Income and Poverty – 2008-2012 ACS .....	3-74
Table 3-25: Ratio of Income to Poverty Threshold in the Study Area for Income and Poverty - 2008-2012 ACS .....	3-76
Table 3-26: Toll Cost Analysis .....	3-79
Table 3-27: Population within the Demographic Study Area that Speak English Less than Very Well.....	3-81
Table 3-28: Linguistically Isolated Households in the Demographic Study Area .....	3-85
Table 3-29: NAAQS Attainment Designations.....	3-101
Table 3-30: CO Concentrations .....	3-102
Table 3-31: Projected National MSAT Emissions and Percent Reduction for 2010-2050 for Vehicles Operating on Roadways Using EPA's MOVES2010b Model .....	3-104
Table 3-32: MSAT Emissions for the Preferred Expressway Alternative (Tons/Year).....	3-105
Table 3-33: FHWA Noise Abatement Criteria .....	3-110
Table 3-34: Noise Impacts for the US 281 Corridor Project by Alternative .....	3-111
Table 3-35: Summary of the Total Noise Impacts for the US 281 Corridor Project .....	3-123
Table 3-36: Proposed Noise Barriers .....	3-126
Table 3-37: Noise Impact Contours by Build Alternatives .....	3-126
Table 3-38: Impervious Cover per Build Alternative.....	3-129
Table 3-39: Recharge and Discharge Features Impacted by the Preferred Expressway Alternative.....	3-151



Table 3-40: Floodplains by Alternative ROW Footprint .....	3-156
Table 3-41: Direct Impacts to Waters of the U.S. ....	3-161
Table 3-42: Vegetation in Acres per Alternative.....	3-167
Table 3-43: Acreage of Wooded Areas in ROW .....	3-172
Table 3-44: Federal and State Listed Rare, Threatened and Endangered Species of Bexar County.....	3-176
Table 3-45: Bexar County Karst Zone Acreage and the Number of Karst Features Located within the ROW by Alternative ...	3-189
Table 3-46: Impacts to Golden-cheeked Warbler Habitat by Alternative .....	3-191
Table 3-47: Summary Table of Threatened and Endangered Species and Potential for Effect/Impact by the US 281 Corridor Project .....	3-194
Table 3-48: Previously Recorded Archeological Sites .....	3-208
Table 3-49: Previously Surveyed Areas within the APE .....	3-211
Table 3-50: Federal, State, Local and Tribal Regulatory Listings and Search Radii .....	3-214
Table 3-51: Confirmed Hazardous and Regulated Materials Sites .....	3-216
Table 3-52: Properties Impacted by Alternatives and Hazardous and Regulated Material Sites .....	3-223
Table 3-53: Residential Properties within the Foreground Viewshed .....	3-234
Table 3-54: Summary of Direct Impacts by Alternative .....	3-239
Table 3-55: Summary of Potential Mitigation and Monitoring Options .....	3-244
Table 4-1: Seven-Step Approach to Estimate Indirect Impacts .....	4-2
Table 4-2: Population Trends for Selected Cities and Counties 1970-2010.....	4-22
Table 4-3: Population Projections for 4-County Area and the US 281 AOI Residential Housing Sectors 2000-2035 .....	4-24
Table 4-4: Aquifer Recharge by Watershed Groups .....	4-38
Table 4-5: State - and Federally-Listed Species Occurrences in AOI.....	4-40
Table 4-6: Historic Properties or Districts within or Adjacent to the AOI .....	4-45
Table 4-7: Summary of Encroachment-Alteration Effects Analysis .....	4-48
Table 4-8: Summary of Analysis of Effects Related to Induced Growth .....	4-52
Table 4-9: Karst Zone Acreage within the AOI .....	4-60
Table 4-10: Number of Residential Properties Indirectly Affected .....	4-67
Table 4-11: US 281 Land Use Panel Members .....	4-68
Table 4-12: Factors Influencing Land Development in the US 281 AOI .....	4-69
Table 4-13: Influence of Alternatives on Induced Land Development (Shown as Average Percent Change in Extent of Mapped Area).....	4-72
Table 4-14: Effect of Various Toll Options on Induced Land Development .....	4-72
Table 4-15: Summary: Estimated Induced Development by Build Alternative .....	4-73
Table 4-16: Potential GCWA Habitat within the AOI and within Projected Induced Development Areas under the US 281 Build Alternatives .....	4-81
Table 4-17: Review of Key Findings of Urban Stream Studies Examining the Relationship of Urbanization to Stream Quality (from Schueler 2000a) .....	4-87
Table 4-18: Areas within the AOI Subject to Induced Development: Surface Watersheds, Population, and Water Demand ...	4-91
Table 4-19: Trends in Trophic State* of Canyon Lake, based on TCEQ Water Quality Assessment Data.....	4-94
Table 4-20: Areas of Potential Induced Development Impacts by Vegetation Cover Types in the AOI .....	4-98
Table 4-21: Summary of Indirect Effects Analysis.....	4-98
Table 5-1: Determination of Resources and Issues Included in the Cumulative Effects Analysis.....	5-4
Table 5-2: Resource Study Area for Each Resource Considered in the Analysis.....	5-7
Table 5-3: County of Occurrence and Resource Study Areas for Federal and State-listed Species.....	5-22
Table 5-4: Distribution of Federally-listed Bexar County Karst Invertebrates in KFRs and Number of Localities.....	5-60
Table 5-5: Summary of Direct and Indirect Effects .....	5-64
Table 5-6: 2035 Development within the Land RSA Resulting from Other Past, Present and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions.....	5-70
Table 5-7: Current and Future Transportation Projects .....	5-76
Table 5-8: Current and Future Private and Public Land Development Projects .....	5-77
Table 5-9: Current and Future Actions – Infrastructure Projects .....	5-78
Table 5-10: Total Projected 2035 Land Development in Land RSA (acres).....	5-81
Table 5-11: Environmental Justice Analysis Results .....	5-89
Table 5-12: Environmental Justice Cost Analysis .....	5-90



Table 5-13: Cumulative Land Development within Surface Water Drainage Areas of the Land RSA (Acres) .....	5-92
Table 5-14: Different Categories of Current and Projected Land Development within the Drainage Areas of the AOI (acres)..	5-94
Table 5-15: Cumulative Land Development (acres) within the AOI that has Potential to Impact Water Quality of the Edwards Aquifer .....	5-97
Table 5-16: Cumulative Effects by Vegetation Types (acres).....	5-100
Table 5-17: Acreage of Vegetation Types in AOI in Relation to Extent of Vegetation Types within the Vegetation and Wildlife RSA .....	5-101
Table 5-18: Potential GCWA Habitat in US 281 Land RSA and GCWA RSA (USFWS Recovery Region 6).....	5-105
Table 5-19: Potential Cumulative Effects to Diamond (2007) Habitat & TPWD EMST Vegetation Classes Potentially Suitable for GCWA Habitat (acres) .....	5-106
Table 5-20: National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	5-115
Table 5-21: Resource Conservation/Protection and Mitigation Programs Related to Potential Cumulative Impacts of Proposed US 281 Corridor Project from Loop 1604 to Borgfeld Drive .....	5-121
Table 5-22: Mitigation Measures Affecting Threatened and Endangered Karst Invertebrate Species .....	5-133
Table 6-1: List of Agencies .....	6-16
Table 6-2: List of Decline Letters Received from Agencies .....	6-17



This page intentionally left blank.



# List of Figures

Figure ES-1: Project location .....	ES-2
Figure 1-1: US 281 project corridor .....	1-3
Figure 1-2: History of US 281 NEPA documentation.....	1-5
Figure 1-3: US 281/Loop 1604 interchange improvements.....	1-6
Figure 1-4: Aerial view of corridor development from 1973 through 2009 .....	1-7
Figure 1-5: Demographic study area.....	1-8
Figure 1-6: Historical and forecasted population, household and employment levels within the US 281 demographic study area .....	1-9
Figure 1-7: Population by county within the US 281 demographic study area .....	1-10
Figure 1-8: Roadway functional class.....	1-11
Figure 1-9: Crash rates on US 281 compared to Texas statewide crash rates.....	1-15
Figure 1-10: EIS flow chart .....	1-21
Figure 2-1: Typical sections of the existing US 281 project corridor .....	2-2
Figure 2-2: Aerial of US 281 Super Street at Evans Road.....	2-3
Figure 2-3: Levels of service.....	2-4
Figure 2-4: Overview of alternatives evaluation process .....	2-9
Figure 2-5: Level 1 evaluation process and results .....	2-10
Figure 2-6: Level 2 evaluation process and results .....	2-12
Figure 2-7: Lane configuration of alternatives analyzed in Level 3 evaluation.....	2-13
Figure 2-8: 15-minute traffic volumes 0.25 mile south of Encino Rio .....	2-16
Figure 2-9: Level 3 evaluation process and results .....	2-17
Figure 2-10a: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-27
Figure 2-10b: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-28
Figure 2-10c: Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	2-29
Figure 2-10d: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-30
Figure 2-10e: Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	2-31
Figure 2-11: Elevated Expressway Alternative typical section.....	2-32
Figure 2-12a: Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-35
Figure 2-12b: Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-36
Figure 2-12c: Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-37
Figure 2-12d: Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-38
Figure 2-12e: Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	2-39
Figure 2-13: Preferred Expressway Alternative typical section south of Stone Oak Parkway.....	2-40
Figure 2-14: Preferred Expressway Alternative typical section north of Stone Oak Parkway .....	2-40
Figure 2-15: Preferred Expressway Alternative at Stone Oak Parkway in the vicinity of the Park and Ride Facility.....	2-41
Figure 3-1: City of San Antonio planning areas.....	3-4
Figure 3-2a: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-8
Figure 3-2b: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-9
Figure 3-2c: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-10
Figure 3-2d: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-11
Figure 3-2e: Existing land use in the land use study area .....	3-12
Figure 3-3: US 281 - Demographic study area .....	3-18
Figure 3-4: Regional population forecasts comparison .....	3-20
Figure 3-5: Neighborhoods and schools located within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile of US 281 .....	3-26
Figure 3-6: Schools within $\frac{1}{2}$ mile .....	3-31
Figure 3-7: Fire and police protection and medical services .....	3-32
Figure 3-8: Places of worship and cemeteries .....	3-33
Figure 3-9a: Relocations and displacements – Preferred Expressway Alternative.....	3-48
Figure 3-9b: Relocations and displacements – Preferred Expressway Alternative.....	3-49
Figure 3-9c: Relocations and displacements – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-50
Figure 3-9d: Relocations and displacements – Preferred Expressway Alternative.....	3-51
Figure 3-9e: Relocations and displacements – Preferred Expressway Alternative.....	3-52



Figure 3-9f: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-53
Figure 3-9g: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-54
Figure 3-9h: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-55
Figure 3-9i: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-56
Figure 3-9j: Relocations and displacements – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-57
Figure 3-10a: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks.....	3-61
Figure 3-10b: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks.....	3-62
Figure 3-10c: Study area for race and ethnicity – 2010 census blocks .....	3-63
Figure 3-11: Study area for income and poverty – 2010 census block groups .....	3-64
Figure 3-12a: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity.....	3-69
Figure 3-12b: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-70
Figure 3-12c: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-71
Figure 3-12d: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity .....	3-72
Figure 3-12e: Environmental justice blocks in the study area for race and ethnicity.....	3-73
Figure 3-13: Recommended bicycle corridor map.....	3-88
Figure 3-14: 2035 average peak period speed – northern segment (between Marshall Road and Borgfeld Drive) .....	3-92
Figure 3-15: 2035 average peak period speed – southern segment (between Sonterra Boulevard and Marshall Road).....	3-92
Figure 3-16: 2035 average daily traffic – northern segment (near the intersection of US 281 and Bulverde Road) .....	3-93
Figure 3-17: 2035 average daily traffic – southern segment (near the intersection of US 281 and Sonterra Boulevard) .....	3-93
Figure 3-18: Peak period LOS – US 281 main lanes .....	3-94
Figure 3-19: Peak period LOS– parallel corridors combined – Blanco Road & Bulverde Road.....	3-94
Figure 3-20: 2018 and 2038 average peak period speed – northern segment (between Stone Oak Parkway and Borgfeld Drive).....	3-95
Figure 3-21: 2018 and 2038 average peak period speed – southern segment (between Loop 1604 and Stone Oak Parkway) ..	3-96
Figure 3-22: 2018 and 2038 peak period ADT – northern segment (between Stone Oak Parkway and Borgfeld Drive) .....	3-97
Figure 3-23: 2018 and 2038 peak period ADT – southern segment (between Loop 1604 and Stone Oak Parkway) .....	3-98
Figure 3-24: 2018 and 2038 peak period LOS – northern segment (between Stone Oak Parkway and Borgfeld Drive) .....	3-99
Figure 3-25: 2018 and 2038 peak period LOS – southern segment (between Loop 1604 and Stone Oak Parkway) .....	3-100
Figure 3-26: Projected national MSAT emission trends 2010 – 2050 for vehicles operating on roadways using EPA's MOVES2010b model.....	3-103
Figure 3-27: Projected changes in MSAT emissions for the Preferred Expressway Alternative over time .....	3-106
Figure 3-28: Total MSAT emissions and VMT by Preferred Expressway Alternative (tons/year) .....	3-107
Figure 3-29a: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Elevated Expressway Alternative.....	3-113
Figure 3-29b: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-114
Figure 3-29c: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-115
Figure 3-29d: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-116
Figure 3-29e: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Elevated Expressway Alternative .....	3-117
Figure 3-30a: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-118
Figure 3-30b: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-119
Figure 3-30c: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-120
Figure 3-30d: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-121
Figure 3-30e: US 281 noise receiver locations and potential abatement – Preferred Expressway Alternative .....	3-122
Figure 3-31: Location of the project area in relation to local aquifers .....	3-131
Figure 3-32: Geologic map of region surrounding the US 281 project .....	3-134
Figure 3-33: Map of the four Edwards Aquifer Zones in the region surrounding the project area.....	3-138
Figure 3-34: Modeled and dye traced groundwater flowpaths in the area near US 281 up to Comal Springs .....	3-140
Figure 3-35: Water supply wells .....	3-144
Figure 3-36: Groundwater pumping compared with springflow from the Edwards Aquifer, 1934-2012 .....	3-145
(measured in thousands of acre-feet) .....	3-145
Figure 3-37: Karst features in the area surrounding the US 281 project corridor .....	3-148
Figure 3-38: The US 281 study area with locations of known caves and karst features overlain on groundwater vulnerability map from Clark (2000) .....	3-149
Figure 3-39: Surface waters that cross the US 281 project corridor.....	3-160
Figure 3-40: US 281 biological study area.....	3-175



Figure 3-41a: Foreground viewshed .....	3-228
Figure 3-41b: Foreground viewshed .....	3-229
Figure 3-41c: Foreground viewshed .....	3-230
Figure 3-42: Foreground viewshed .....	3-231
Figure 3-43a: Visualization of US 281 – Looking northbound north of Encino Rio .....	3-232
Figure 3-43b: Visualization of US 281 – Looking southbound north of Stone Oak Parkway .....	3-233
Figure 3-43c: Visualization of US 281 – Looking southbound near the VIA Park and Ride Facility.....	3-233
Figure 4-1: Area of Influence .....	4-5
Figure 4-2: Preliminary AOI based on select link analysis.....	4-7
Figure 4-3: Historical land development trends in the AOI: 1983, 1996, 2008 .....	4-26
Figure 4-4: Landscape features, communities, designated historic sites and independent school districts .....	4-32
Figure 4-5a: Viewsheds – South of Marshall Road .....	4-64
Figure 4-5b: Viewsheds – North of Marshall Road .....	4-65
Figure 4-6: Current and potential (2035) land development within the AOI with input from the land use panel, workshop #2 .....	4-71
Figure 4-7: Potential GCWA habitat in relation to areas subject to induced development .....	4-80
Figure 4-8: Drainage areas for major streams within the AOI .....	4-85
Figure 4-9: Waterbody classification scheme based on the relationship between watershed imperviousness and degradation of receiving waters (adapted from Schueler 2000a and Arnold and Gibbons 1996) .....	4-89
Figure 4-10: Relationships between population density and watershed impervious cover for population density up to 2,000 persons/square mile (representative of low to moderate density single-family development).....	4-90
Figure 5-1: Land RSA .....	5-8
Figure 5-2: Socioeconomic and community RSA .....	5-10
Figure 5-3: Surface water RSA .....	5-12
Figure 5-4: Groundwater RSA .....	5-13
Figure 5-5: Vegetation and wildlife RSA .....	5-14
Figure 5-6: Terrestrial Karst Species RSA .....	5-18
Figure 5-7: Golden-cheeked warbler RSA.....	5-20
Figure 5-8: Black-capped vireo RSA .....	5-21
Figure 5-9: Census tracts within or adjacent to the socioeconomic and community RSA .....	5-28
Figure 5-10: Impaired surface waters according to TCEQ 2010 303(d) list within the surface water resources study area.....	5-39
Figure 5-11: Groundwater pumping compared with springflow from the Edwards Aquifer, 1934-2012 (measured in thousands of acre-feet) .....	5-46
Figure 5-12: Current and projected (2035) land development within the area of influence showing residential housing sectors .....	5-72
Figure 5-13: Percent built-out and population density in 2009.....	5-74
Figure 5-14: Percent built-out and population density in 2035.....	5-75
Figure 5-15: Proposed toll facilities and EJ zones .....	5-84
Figure 5-16: Alternate routes .....	5-86
Figure 5-17: Environmental justice zones that are projected to use US 281.....	5-88
Figure 6-1: Spatial distribution of the US 281 mailing list and meeting attendees/comments received .....	6-2



This page intentionally left blank.



# List of Appendices

---

Appendix A – List of Recipients

Appendix B – List of Preparers

Appendix C – Transportation Planning Documents

Appendix D1 – VISSIM Microscopic Analysis of US 281 from Loop 1604 to Borgfeld Drive

Appendix D2 – Application of the Metropolitan Planning Organization Travel Demand Model

Appendix E – Environmental Justice Project Level Toll Analysis

Appendix F – Metropolitan Planning Organization Regional Toll and Managed Lane Analysis - July 2014

Appendix G – Socioeconomic Data

Appendix H – Hazardous Materials Search Results

Appendix I1 – Threatened and Endangered Species Lists

Appendix I2 – Karst Invertebrate Survey Technical Report

Appendix I3 – Avian Survey Technical Reports

Appendix I4 – Biological Opinion and Biological Assessment

Appendix J1 – Geologic Assessment

Appendix J2 – Hydrostratigraphy of the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers

Appendix K1 – Conceptual Schematics

Appendix K2 – US 281 Schematic - Preliminary Project Cost Estimate

Appendix K3 – TCEQ Edwards Aquifer Regulatory Evaluation and Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis Report

Appendix L1 – Agency Correspondence

Appendix L2 – Scoping Concurrence

Appendix M – SARS Report

Appendix N1 – Public Scoping Meeting #1 Summary Report

Appendix N2 – Public Scoping Meeting #2 Summary Report

Appendix N3 – Public Meeting #3 Summary Report

Appendix N4 – Public Meeting #4 Summary Report

Appendix N-PH – Public Hearing Summary and Analysis Report



This page intentionally left blank.